



**University of  
East London**

Pioneering Futures Since 1898

# Reflections on England's childcare system

**Emeritus Professor Eva Lloyd**

**WHO OWNS CHILDCARE**

**symposium Winnipeg**

**04.04.2024**

# England's early childhood education and care (ECEC) system

- Children aged 0 to 5 in England do not have a right to a place in ECEC provision
- Directly funded ECEC access determined by age or parental employment status
- access to ECEC paid for by parents, with or without state support, determined by its availability and affordability
- 89% of children aged 3 and 4 in some form of 'formal childcare'; 57% of 2-year-olds; 40% of 1-year olds and 7% of infants under 1 ([DfE, 2023](#))
- Uneven distribution of provision: nearly half of under-fives live in childcare deserts ([NEF, 2023](#))

# Private childcare: evolution and nature

- Public and non-profits: in response to perceived need ([Penn, 2009](#))
- For-profits: in response to parental demand ([Willekens et al., 2015](#))
- Non-profits: community focus, open to children in poverty or with other additional needs ([Penn, 2024](#))
- Non-profits: superior quality in comparison to for-profits ([Sosinsky et al., 2007](#); [Cleveland and Krashinsky, 2009](#); [Brogaard and Petersen, 2022](#))
- Pursuit of social impact not invariably associated with non-profit status, e.g. British public schools, charities, morphing into elite institutions over time ([Verkaik, 2018](#))

# England's early education and care provision

Main types of ECEC delivery partners:

1. Public sector: nursery classes state schools, academies/multi-academy trusts  
state nursery schools
2. Private sector: non-profits and for-profits, including large chains; family daycare, i.e. childminders

2023 figures :  
2/3rds of children receive directly funded hours in private settings, version 1/3<sup>rd</sup> in public settings  
6000 non-profits versus 14.200 for-profits  
11% non-profits part of a chain v 43% of for-profits  
(Group-based providers, excludes childminders)  
5 school starting age; 4 entry to 'Reception' class

# Early education and childcare funding

## Provider - supply-side - subsidies

- 15 universal childcare hours weekly during term time for 3- and 4-year-olds since 2010
- 30 targeted childcare hours weekly during term time for 3- and 4-year-olds with employed parents since 2017
- 15 targeted childcare hours weekly during term-time for disadvantaged 2-year-olds since 2013

## **2023 proposals**

- 15 targeted childcare hours for all 2-year-olds with employed parents from April 2024
- 15 targeted childcare hours for all children aged 9 months to 5 years with employed parents from September 2024
- 30 targeted childcare hours for all children from 9 months with working parents from September 2025

## Parental – demand-side - subsidies

- Tax-free Childcare, up to £2000 annually per child; no parent earns over £100.000 in dual earner or single parent family ([HM Gov, 2024](#))
- Childcare support under Universal Credit, part of the Benefits system ([HM Gov., 2024](#))
- Retrospective payments of UC childcare support changed to upfront in 2023 ([Lloyd, 2020a](#))
- Over last decade increase in spending on funded early education in England four times larger than childcare spending through the tax and benefits system ([Farquharson, 2019](#))
- Likely implications of roll-out of childcare expansion programme ([Farquharson, 2024](#))

# Non- and for-profit childcare business models

## Non-profits:

- Charities, registered with [Charity Commission for England and Wales](#), including church-led institutions
- [social enterprises](#), registered with Charity Commission or [Office of the Regulator for Community Interest Companies](#)
- [charitable incorporated organisations](#), like [Acorn Early Years Foundation](#), registered at [Companies House](#) and with the Charity Commission
- [Co-operatives](#): non-profit limited companies
- For-profits:
- Ltd companies; [National Partnership](#) of Early Learning and Childcare

# The impact of public funding

- Introduction of public funding, regulation and governance support: step-change in nature of childcare markets ([Lloyd, 2019](#))
- Public funding boosts presence for-profits and large for-profit chains in childcare markets ([Prentice and White, 2019](#))
- Within federal nations, balance between non-profit and for-profit provision may vary, viz. Canada ([Beach et al., 2023](#))
- England's childcare market skewed towards for-profits even during Labour administrations ([Lloyd, 2018](#))
- Result of market consolidation in England over the last two decades: stagnant or falling number of places and increase in financialized large chains, i.e. heavily indebted due to private equity loans ([Simon et al., 2022; Garcia and Stewart, 2024](#))<sub>6</sub>

# Nations' resistance to for-profit dominance

- Different policy, fiscal and socio-cultural contexts impact on prevalence and survival of non-profits when for-profits dominant
- Changing public opinion, itself influenced by advocacy movements, may come to favour non-profits ([Busemayer et al., 2020](#))
- German's traditional non-profit sector major barrier to public subsidisation of for-profits ([Kelle and Mierendorf, 2020](#))
- The Netherlands' traditionally dominant non-profit sector loses ground to for-profits  
([Akgündüz and Plantenga, 2014; Hoefsloot et al., 2023](#))
- Governments in Hong Kong ([Rao and Lau, 2019](#)) and Singapore ([Bull and Bautista, 2018; Lim and Sum, 2022](#)) now encouraging non-profits



# Shifts between non-profits and for-profits

- Case of Australian childcare market over last three decades illustration of relationship between political change and rapid shifts between for-profits and non-profits
- 2008 collapse of Australia's largest and USA's second largest for-profit provider ABC Learning
- Prompt for government's reconceptualization of childcare as a public good and towards non-profits ([Sumsion, 2012](#))
- Political change driver of return to view of childcare as "commodified necessity" ([Woodrow and Press, 2018, p. 548](#); [Tayler et al., 2018](#))
- Under current regulatory conditions childcare market not delivering affordability and accessibility  
([Australian Competition & Consumer Commission, 2024](#))

# English childcare market pressures

- English childcare market increasingly fragile and unsustainable due to lack of appropriate regulation, deficient funding models and insufficient funding levels ([Lloyd, 2020b](#))
- For-profits: profit before purpose via parental fee hikes and growing unsustainable debts to private equity firms; at risk of collapse; access restricted to better-off ([Davies, 2024](#))
- 2023 supply-side childcare subsidy 10% lower than 10 years ago ([Drayton and Farquharson, 2023](#))
- Non-profits: limited borrowing potential and requirement to reinvest most of any surplus; better workforce pay; if unsustainable, poor children and those with additional needs lose access to ECEC ([Lloyd and Simon, 2022](#))
- Huge childcare retention and recruitment crisis affecting whole market ([Hardy et al., 2023](#))

# The size of the challenge

- Between 2018 and 2022 one third of non-profits in disadvantaged areas in England closed or taken over by private companies, including private equity firms ([Garcia and Topping, 2023](#))
- Likely result of current British government childcare expansion programme: socially segregated ECEC and disadvantaged children locked out ([House of Commons Education Committee, 2023](#))
- Childcare workforce must be a primary beneficiary of improved childcare policies ([Social Mobility Commission, 2020](#))
- Make priority group for targeting with quality non-profit childcare: the under-fives among the around 1 million children in the UK experiencing destitution in 2022, a 300% rise since 2017 ([JRF, 2024](#))

# Creating guardrails around for-profits

- 2024 report Joseph Rowntree Foundation, England's largest independent social change organisation: social contract between childcare providers and government to drive up standards and increase access ([Jitendra, 2024](#))
- 2021 Irish Republic introduced conditionality attached to new additional form of supply-side funding after extensive review of its childcare funding model ([Lloyd, 2023](#))
- England's [Early Education and Childcare Coalition](#): agreed position on need for conditionality and 'public good' approach to childcare, though not yet clear on role of public provision within the market
- Need to learn lessons on how to support non-profits in thin markets ([Cleveland and Krashinsky, 2009](#)); extra support for non-profits, starting with disadvantaged areas ([Statham et al., 2022](#))

# England's childcare market: rescue and reform

1. Improve workforce remuneration and employment conditions through increased public management ([Lloyd, 2023](#))
2. Increase supply-side subsidy levels to reflect real delivery costs; introduce parental fee caps
3. Introduce income-related parental fees, paid direct to providers
4. Reform Ofsted (inspection body) data collection for greater transparency regarding spending of public funds ([Penn, 2023](#))
5. Hold national debate regarding role of private equity in private for-profit early education and care provision and find ways of promoting non-profits like Denmark and Iceland have done ([Traetteberg et al., 2021](#))

thank  
you

# Contact details

Email: [e.lloyd@uel.ac.uk](mailto:e.lloyd@uel.ac.uk)

Twitter: @EvaLloyd50

Profile: <https://www.uel.ac.uk/staff/l/eva-lloyd>

Research Centre: <https://www.uel.ac.uk/our-research/research-school-education-communities/international-centre-study-mixed-economy-childcare-icmec>